2005 2:30PM meric et al.

U.S. Serial No. 09/681,467

## REMARKS

In response to the Examiner's Reasons for Allowance, Applicant believes that a separate Statement of Reasons for Allowance is unnecessary in the present case as the file history sufficiently sets forth the patentable distinctions of the allowed claims.

The patentability of claims 1-7, 9-12, and 14-30 lies in each claim as a whole. That is, a single particular element or feature of a claim does not define the claim's patentability, but rather, it is each of the elements and the interconnection therebetween that define that which is claimed. The claims cannot be considered to be limited in scope based on this brief statement by the Examiner.

Applicant does not acquiesce to the accuracy of the Examiner's statements in the Reasons for Allowance nor the Examiner's partial and incomplete paraphrasing of the claim clements.

Entry of these remarks is appreciated and Applicant cordially invites the Examiner to respond, should the Examiner disagree.

Respectfully submitted

Timothy J. Ziolkowski Registration No. 38,368 Direct Dial (262) 376-5139

tiz@zpspatents.com

Dated: March 22, 2005

Attorney Docket No.: GEMS8081.066

## P.O. ADDRESS:

Ziolkowski Patent Solutions Group, SC 14135 N. Cedarburg Rd. Mequon, WI 53097-1416 262-376-5170